

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/666,101	09/18/2003	Peter M. Bonutti	780-A03-040-8	7131	
33771 7:	7590 07/06/2004		EXAMINER		
PAUL D. BIANCO: FLEIT, KAIN, GIBBONS,			SMITH, RUTH S		
	NGINI, & BIANCO P. L KEY DRIVE, SUITE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MIAMI, FL 33131			3737		

DATE MAILED: 07/06/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTOL-326 (R		Action Summa	ry Pa	rt of Paper No./Mail Da	ite 20040629		
2) Notic 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 r No(s)/Mail Date	18)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:		-152) ·		
* \$	application from the International Bure see the attached detailed Office action for a list	•	• • •	ed.			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the pr	•		ed in this National	Stage		
	<ul><li>2. Certified copies of the priority docume</li></ul>			on No			
a)(	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of: 1.☐ Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have hee	n received				
_	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	gn priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	)-(d) or (f).			
_	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
•	•	Examiner. INC	ne the attached Office	Action of form P1	O-152.		
11\	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the latest and the same of the latest and the la	•	<del>-</del> ,,	•	, ,		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	· · ·	•	` '			
·	The drawing(s) filed on <u>18 September 2003</u> is		accepted or b)□ objec	ted to by the Exam	niner.		
	The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.					
Applicati	on Papers						
· —	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	l/or election r	equirement.				
	Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
· <u> </u>	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				-		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdo	rawn from co	nsideration.				
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application	on.					
Dispositi	on of Claims				•		
	closed in accordance with the practice under	r Ex parte Qι	ayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.			
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
2a)□		 nis action is n	on-final.				
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
Status							
THE   - External exte	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state the provided by the Office later than three months after the mailed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no ev eply within the stat od will apply and w ute, cause the app	ent, however, may a reply be tin utory minimum of thirty (30) day ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lication to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	mmunication.		
A SH	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP	PLY IS SET T	O EXPIRE 3 MONTH	S) FROM			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	ppears on the	cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	dress		
		Ruth S Sr	nith	3737	•		
	Office Action Summary	Examine	<del></del> -	Art Unit			
		10/666,10	01	BONUTTI, PETER	М.		
		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)			

Art Unit: 3737

### Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: On page 1, applicant should update the status of the continuing data. Appropriate correction is required.

The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

## Claim Objections

Claims 4-6,8,9,11,12,14,15,17,18 are objected to because of the following informalities: In claim 4, line 5, "lowering" is misspelled. Claims 8,9,11,12,14,15,17,18 are objected to because it is unclear as to what further structural limitations have been set forth. The various parts of the body are not positively set forth as part of the claimed invention. Therefore, how these body parts are affected fail to impose any further limitations on the claimed invention. It is unclear as to whether applicant is attempting to include these body parts as part of the claimed invention. It should be noted that such inclusion would render the claims non-statutory. Appropriate correction is required.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 3,6,21,24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The specification fails to disclose that the system includes a secondary electromagnet.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1,4,19,22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Damadian (4,411,270) in view of Matsutani. Damadian discloses a method and apparatus for providing magnetic resonance imaging wherein the patient is positioned in a vertical orientation. If a small child where being imaged the system would be capable of imaging a patient in a seated or standing position. In the absence of any showing of unexpected results, whether the patient is seated or standing, as long as they are oriented vertically, would have been a matter of ordinary engineering design choice. Damadian discloses that in addition to moving the patient, one can instead move the imaging volume 44. Matsutani discloses a MRI system with means for positioning the patient such that a desired portion of the patient is imaged. Such means includes an actuator for raising or lowering the patient support to properly position the patient. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to have modified Damadian such that it includes an actuator for raising or lowering the patient in order to properly position the patient such that the imaging volume is placed within a desired body portion.

Claims 2,5,20,23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Damadian (4,411,270) in view of Matsutani as applied to claims 1,4,19,22 above, and further in view of Shah. Shah discloses an MRI system which includes the use of a positioning fixture to maintain the patient in a fixed position during imaging. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to have further modified Damadian such that it includes a positioning fixture to maintain the patient in a fixed position during imaging. The advantage of such is to ensure proper placement of patient during imaging.

Claims 7-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Damadian (4,411,270) in view of Matsutani and Shah. Damadian discloses a method and apparatus for providing magnetic resonance imaging wherein the patient is

Art Unit: 3737

positioned in a vertical orientation. If a small child where being imaged the system would be capable of imaging a patient in a seated or standing position. In the absence of any showing of unexpected results, whether the patient is seated or standing, as long as they are oriented vertically, would have been a matter of ordinary engineering design choice. Damadian discloses that in addition to moving the patient, one can instead move the imaging volume 44. Matsutani discloses a MRI system with means for positioning the patient such that a desired portion of the patient is imaged. Such means includes an actuator for raising or lowering the patient support to properly position the patient. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to have modified Damadian such that it includes an actuator for raising or lowering the patient in order to properly position the patient such that the imaging volume is placed within a desired body portion. Shah discloses an MRI system which includes the use of a positioning fixture to maintain the patient in a fixed position during imaging. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to have further modified Damadian such that it includes a positioning fixture to maintain the patient in a fixed position during imaging. The advantage of such is to ensure proper placement of patient during imaging. With respect to claims 8,9,11,12,14,15,17,28, there are inherently forces that the body is subjected to and the forces of gravity will be greater than the upward forces applied by the support. It should be noted that the system of Damadian can be applied to image any body portion.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ruth S Smith whose telephone number is (703) 308-3063. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 5:30 AM- 2:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Angela Sykes can be reached on (703) 308-5181. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/666,101 Page 5

Art Unit: 3737

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ruth S Smith Primary Examiner Art Unit 3737

**RSS**